Development of Optical Remote Sensing Protocol for the Measurement of Nonpoint Emission Sources

Patrick D. Sullivan
Air Force Research Laboratory

Ram Hashmonay

Mark Modrak
ARCADIS

Need Statement

No recognized method exists for making direct nonpoint source measurements. An accurate and cost-effective method is needed to quantify area emission sources.

Project Sponsor

Environmental

Security

Technology

Certification

Program

Path Integrated Concentration



OP Instrument Summary

Spectroscopic Methods:

- **Open Path Fourier Transform Infra Red (OP-FTIR)**
- **Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (DOAS)**

Advantages:	Disadvantages:
Multiple compounds simultaneously	Interference
Potential Particulate Matter	Relatively slow

Laser Based Techniques:

- **Tunable Diode Laser Absorption Spectroscopy (TDLAS)**
- **Differential Absorption Lidar (DIAL)**

Advantages:	Disadvantages:
Fast	Typically single compound
Interference free	Expensive
Long range	

New Method Summary

- Beam Configuration: OP-FTIR (or other OP method) multiple beams to determine vertical and horizontal gradients
- Optimization algorithms to directly reconstruct the mass equivalent plume downwind from the source
- No need for tracer release or inverse dispersion modeling approach for plume characterization
- Plane-integrated concentration x wind speed = emission flux

Example: Oxford NC Test



Vertical Scanning



Oxford NC Test Results

Reconstructed Plumes

Actual release rate = 1.7 g/s

Calculated flux = 1.2 g/s

Measured σ_θ – 50.7 degrees

(Pasquill-Gifford Stability A - unstable)









Oxford NC Test Results

Reconstructed Plumes

Actual release rate = 1.7 g/s

Calculated flux = 1.5 g/s

Measured σ_θ – 12.7 degrees

(Pasquill-Gifford Stability C-D - neutral)











Radial Scanning



Validation Study Experimental Setup



East


Dislocation Distance = 2.9 m; CCF = 0.85
Y Distance [m]
X Distance [m]
0
5
10
15
20
25
30
35
0
10
20


East Point Release
Y Distance [m]
X Distance [m]
0
5
10
15
20
25
30
35
0
5
10
15
20
25

Center


Dislocation Distance = 2.6 m; CCF = 0.93
35
20
0
10
5
0
10
X Distance [m


Center Point Release
35
30
25
20
15
10
5
0
Y Distance [m]
0
5
10
15
20
25
X Distance [m]

South


Dislocation Distance = 3.8 m; CCF = 1.0
Y Distance [m]
X Distance [m]
0
5
10
15
20
25
30
35


South Point Release
Y Distance [m]
X Distance [m]
0
5
10
15
20
25
30
35

Southwest


Dislocation Distance = 2.4 m; CCF = 1.0
Y Distance [m]
35
30
25
20
15
10
10
20
Distance [m]


Southwest Point Release
Y Distance [m]
35
30
25
20
15
10
5
0
0
5
10
15
20
25
X Distance [m]

West


Dislocation Distance = 0.54 m; CCF = 0.98
35
30
25
20
15
istance [m]
20
Distance [m]


West Point Release
35
30
25
20
15
10
5
0
Y Distance [m]
0
5
10
15
20
25
X Distance [m]

Cost Comparison Estimate

#	*Task*	*Conventional ($)*	*ORS + Conventional ($)*
1	Preparation	22,000	7,000
2	Set-up	6,000	3,000
3	Test	50,000	30,000
4	Report	25,000	10,000
5	Travel	7,000	5,000
6	Subcontractor Expenses	60,000	15,000
7	Other Expenses	20,000	30,000
	Total	190,000	100,000

Key Performers



Controlled Validation Design

- Soaker hose in ‘H’ pattern to simulate area source
- Point release to simulate a “hot spot”
- Ethylene, N_2O test gas and others
- Plane-integrated OP-FTIR downwind
- Radial scanning OP-FTIR over the source
- Met station and optical anemometer for wind
- Self-calibrated Gilson Tapered-tube flowmeter
- Weigh the gas cylinder before and after a 1-hour run to confirm the flowmeter

Demonstration

- Actual area sources at DoD installations will be measured and protocols refined
- Examples: WWTP, Landfills, Flightline Operations
- Methodology and actual costs to be documented

Technology Transfer

Published EPA Method

Optimization algorithms will be licensed to equipment manufacturers

Optimization algorithms will be licensed to A&E firms providing base support services

Summary

New OP multiple beam method can provide accurate quantification of area sources, with lower cost and complexity than conventional methods. This project will validate/demonstrate this method.

This demonstration is strongly supported by the EPA. The project objective is to publish a standard protocol for measuring nonpoint sources.

The OP multiple-beam method can become a powerful tool for DoD and industrial facility managers to solve P2 and compliance problems.